

Peer Review Process

European Musculoskeletal Review endeavours to disseminate timely, informative and reliable information, and considers the accuracy and relevancy of all manuscripts published within to be of paramount importance. To achieve this, all manuscripts published within *European Musculoskeletal Review* adhere to its strict policy of quality assurance, which is upheld primarily through its robust peer review procedure.

All manuscripts published within *European Musculoskeletal Review* are subject to the following peer review process.

- On submission, all manuscripts are assessed by the Editor to determine their suitability for inclusion.
- Should the Editor consider the manuscript's scope to fall outside of the journal's remit, then it will be rejected outright.
- Should the Editor consider the quality of written English used in the manuscript to compromise the clarity of the content, then it will be returned to the author for revision.
- The Editor, following consultation with a member of the Editorial Board, identifies appropriate reviewers, who are selected on the basis of their specialist knowledge in the relevant area.
- Subsequently, provisionally accepted articles are subject to thorough double-blind peer review.
- Following review, manuscripts are either accepted without modification or returned to the author(s) to incorporate required changes. A proportion of manuscripts may be rejected should they not make a valuable contribution to the body of literature already published.
- Should peer review highlight points of contention or dispute regarding any of the manuscript's scope or claims, then it is subject to a second round of double-blind review where the majority verdict is accepted.
- The Editor reserves the right to accept or reject any proposed amendments.

For further information on *European Musculoskeletal Review's* peer review policy please contact the Editor, Dillan Yogendra at dillan.yogendra@touchbriefings.com.